

THE PENTECOST OF CALAMITY

Ever the fiery Pentecost
Girds with one flame the countless host.


—*Emerson.*

THE tragedy of Germany is vividly depicted in this book. This tragedy Mr. Wister sees to have been the state of mind that made it possible for that great nation to "spring at the throat of an unexpecting and unprepared world." The universal significance of the conflict and something of its special importance to the United States are pointed out by the author.

"WE WISH IT COULD BE READ
IN FULL BY EVERY AMERICAN."

—*The Outlook.*

By OWEN WISTER
Author of "The Virginian," etc., etc.




*The
Pentecost
of
Calamity*



*Ever the Fiery Pentecost
Girds with One Flame the Countless Host*
Emerson

*Owen
Wister*

THE
PENTECOST OF
CALAMITY



THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
NEW YORK • BOSTON • CHICAGO • DALLAS
ATLANTA • SAN FRANCISCO

MACMILLAN & CO., LIMITED
LONDON • BOMBAY • CALCUTTA
MELBOURNE


THE MACMILLAN CO. OF CANADA, LTD.
TORONTO

THE PENTECOST OF CALAMITY

By

OWEN WISTER

Author of "The Virginian," etc.



New York
THE MACMILLAN COMPANY
1915

All rights reserved

COPYRIGHT, 1915,

BY THE CURTIS PUBLISHING COMPANY.

COPYRIGHT, 1915,

BY THE MACMILLAN COMPANY.

Set up and electrotyped. Published August, 1915.
Reprinted September, twice, October, twice, 1915.

Norwood Press
J. S. Cushing Co. — Berwick & Smith Co.
Norwood, Mass., U.S.A.

I

THE PENTECOST OF CALAMITY

Ever the fiery Pentecost
Girds with one flame the countless host.

— EMERSON.

I

BY various influences and agents the Past is summoned before us, more vivid than a dream. The process seems as magical as those whereof we read in fairy legends, where circles are drawn, wands waved, mystic syllables pronounced. Adjured by these rites, voices speak, or forms and faces shape themselves from nothing. So, through certain influences, not magical at all, our brains are made to flash with visions

8 THE PENTECOST OF CALAMITY

of other days. Is there among us one to whom this experience is unknown? For whom no particular strain of music, or no special perfume, is linked with an inveterate association? Music and perfumes are among the most potent of these evocatory agents; but many more exist, such as words, sounds, handwriting. Thus almost always, at the name of the town Cologne, the banks of the golden stream, the German Rhine, sweep into my sight as first I saw them long ago; and from a steamer's deck I watch again, and again count, a train composed of twenty-one locomotives, moving ominous and sinister on their new errand. That was July 19, 1870. France had declared war on Prussia that day. Mobilization was beginning before my eyes. I was ten.

Dates and anniversaries also perform the same office as music and perfumes. This is the ninth of June. This day, last year, I was in the heart of Germany. The beautiful, peaceful scene is plain yet. It seems as if I never could forget it or cease to love it. Often last June I thought how different the sights I was then seeing were from those twenty-one locomotives rolling their heavy threat along the banks of the Rhine. And, for the mere curiosity of it, I looked in my German diary to find if I had recorded anything on last June ninth that should be worth repeating on this June ninth.

Well, at the end of the day's jotted routine were the following sentences: "I am constantly more impressed with the Germans. They are a massive, on-

10 THE PENTECOST OF CALAMITY

going, steady race. Some unifying slow fire is at work in them. This can be felt, somehow." Such was my American impression, innocent altogether, deeply innocent, and ignorant of what the slow fire was going to become. So were the peasants and the other humbler subjects of the Empire who gave me this daily impression; they were innocent and ignorant too. Therefore is the German tragedy deeper even than the Belgian.

On June twenty-eighth I was still in the heart of Germany, but at another beautiful place, where further signs of Germany's great thrift, order and competence had met me at every turn. It was a Sunday, cloudless and hot, with the mountains full of odors from the pines. After two hours of strolling I

reentered our hotel to find a group of travelers before the bulletin board. Here we read in silence the news of a political assassination. The silence was prolonged, not because this news touched any of us nationally but because any such crime must touch and shock all thoughtful persons.

At last the silence was broken by an old German traveler, who said: "That is the match which will set all Europe in a blaze." We did not know who he was. None of our party ever knew. On the next morning this party took its untroubled way toward France, a party of innocent, ignorant Americans, in whose minds lingered no thought of the old German's remark. That evening we slept in Rheims. Our windows opened opposite the quiet cathedral.

12 THE PENTECOST OF CALAMITY

It towered far above them into the night and sky, its presence filling our rooms with a serene and grave benediction. Just to see it from one's pillow gave to one's thoughts the quality of prayer.

Two days later I took my leave of it by sitting for a silent hour alone beneath its solemn nave. I can never be too glad that I bade it this good-by. Not long afterward — only thirty-two days — we recollected the old German's remark, for suddenly it came true. He had known whereof he spoke. On August 1, 1914, Europe fell to pieces; and during August, 1915, in a few weeks from to-day, the anniversaries will begin — public anniversaries and private. These, like perfumes, like music, will waken legions of visions. The days of

the calendar, succeeding one another, will ring in the memories of hundreds and thousands like bells. Each date will invest its day and the sun or the rain thereof with special, pregnant relation to the bereft and the mourning of many faiths and languages. Thus all Europe will be tolling with memorial knells inaudible, yet which in those ears that hear them will sound louder than any noise of shrapnel or calamity.

II

II

CALAMITY, like those far-off locomotives on the Rhine, has again rolled out of Germany on her neighbors. Yet this very Calamity it is that has given me back my faith in my own country. It was Germany at peace which shook my faith; and I must tell you of that peaceful, beautiful Germany in which I rejoiced for so many days, and of how I envied it. Then, perhaps, among some other things I hope you will see, you will see that it is Germany who is, in truth, the deepest tragedy of this war.

The Germany at peace that I saw during May and June, 1914, was, in

the first place, a constant pleasure to the eye, a constant repose to the body and mind. Look where you might, beauty was in some form to be seen, given its chance by the intelligence of man — not defaced, but made the most of; and, whether in towns or in the country, a harmonious spectacle was the rule. I thought of our landscape, littered with rubbish and careless fences and stumps of trees, hideous with glaring advertisements; of the rusty junk lying about our farms and towns and wayside stations; and of the disfigured Palisades along the Hudson River. America was ugly and shabby — made so by Americans; Germany was swept and garnished — made so by Germans.

In Nauheim the admirable courtyard of the bathhouses was matched by the

admirable system within. The convenience and the architecture were equally good. For every hour of the invalid's day the secret of his well-being seemed to have been thought out. On one side of the group and court of baths ran the chief street, shady and well-kempt, with its hotels and its very entertaining shops; on the other side spread a park. This was a truly gracious little region, embowered in trees, with spaces and walks and flowers all near at hand, yet nothing crowded. The park sloped upward to a terrace and casino, with tables for sitting out to eat and drink and hear the band, and with a concert hall and theater for the evening. Herein comedies and little operas and music, both serious and light, were played.

Nothing was far from anything; the

baths, the doctors, the hotels, the music, the tennis courts, the lake, the golf links — all were fitted into a scheme laid out with marvelous capability. Various hills and forests, a little more distant, provided walks for those robust enough to take them, while longer excursions in carriages or motor cars over miles of excellent roads were all mapped out and tariffed in a terse but comprehensive guidebook. Such was living at Nauheim. Dying, I feel sure, was equally well arranged; it was never allowed to obtrude itself on living.

Each day began with an early hour of routine, walking and water-drinking before breakfast, amid surroundings equally well planned — an arcade inclosing a large level space, with an expanse of water, a band playing, flowers

growing in the open, cut flowers for sale in the arcade and comfortable seats where the doctor permitted pausing, but no permanent settling down. Thus went the whole day. Everything was well planned and everything worked. I thought of America, where so many things look beautiful on paper and so few things work, because nobody keeps the rules. I thought of our college elective system, by which every boy was free to study what best fitted him for his career, and nearly every boy did study what he could most easily pass examinations in. There was no elective system in Nauheim. Everybody kept the rules. There was no breakdown, no failure.

Moreover, the civility of the various ministrants to the invalid, from the

eminent professor-doctor down through hotel porters and bath attendants to the elevator boy, was well-nigh perfect. If you asked for something out of the routine, either it was permitted or it was satisfactorily explained why it could not be permitted. Whether at the bank, the bookshop, the hotel, the railway station or in the street, your questions were not merely understood — the Germans knew the answers to them. And every day the street was charming with fresh flowers and fresh fruit in abundance at many corners and booths — cherries, strawberries, plums, apricots, grapes, both cheap and good, as here they never are. But the great luxury, the great repose, was that each person fitted his job, did it well, took it seriously. After our American way of taking it as a joke,

particularly when you fumble it, this German way was almost enough to cure a sick man without further treatment.

III

III

THIS serenity of living was not got up for the stranger; it was not to meet his market that a complex and artificial ease had been constructed, bearing no relation to what lay beyond its limits. That sort of thing is to be found among ourselves in isolated spots, though far less perfect and far more expensive. Nauheim was merely a blossom on the general tree. It was when I began my walks in the country and found everywhere a corresponding, ordered excellence, and came to talk more and more with the peasants and to notice the men, women and children, that the scheme of Germany grew impressive to me.

So had it not been in 1870, as I looked back on my early impressions, reading them now in my maturer judgment's light. So had it not been even in 1882 and 1883, when I had again seen the country. We various invalids of Nauheim presently began to compare notes. All of us were going about the country, among the gardens and the farms, or across the plain through the fruit trees to little Friedberg on its hill — an old castle, a steep village, a clean Teutonic gem, dropped perfect out of the Middle Ages into the present, yet perfectly keeping up with the present. Many of the peasants in the plain, men and women, were of those who brought their flowers and produce to sell in Nauheim — humble people, poor in what you call worldly goods, but seemingly

very few of them poor in the great essential possession.

We invalids compared notes and found ourselves all of one mind. Ten or twelve of us were, at the several hotels, acquaintances at home; every one had been struck with the contentment in the German face. Contentment! Among the old and young of both sexes this was the dominating note, the great essential possession. The question arose: What is the best sign that a government is doing well by its people—is agreeing with its people, so to speak? None of us were quite so sure as we used to be that our native formula, "Of the people, by the people, for the people," is the universal ultimate truth.

Twice two is four, wherever you go;

this is as certain in Berlin as it is at Washington or in the cannibal islands. But, until mankind grows uniform, can government be treated as you treat mathematics? Until mankind grows uniform, will any form of government be likely to fit the whole world like a glove? So long as mankind continues as various as men's digestions, better to look at government as if it were a sort of diet or treatment. How is the government agreeing with its people? This is the question to ask in each country. And what is the surest sign? Could any sign be surer than the general expression, the composite face of the people themselves? This goes deeper than skyscrapers and other material aspects.

I had sailed away from skyscrapers

and limited expresses; from farmers sowing crops wastefully; from houses burned through carelessness; from forests burned through carelessness; from heaps of fruit rotting on the ground in one place and hundreds of men hungry in another place. I had sailed away from the city face and the country face of America, and neither one was the face of content. They looked driven, unpeaceful, dissatisfied. The hasty American was not looking after his country himself, and nobody was there to make him look after it while he rushed about climbing, climbing — and to what? A higher skyscraper. It was very restful to come to a place where the spirit of man was in stable equilibrium; where man's lot was in stable equilibrium; where never a schoolboy had been told

he might become President and every schoolboy knew he could not be Emperor.

The students on a walking holiday from their universities often wandered singing through Nauheim. Somewhat Tyrolese in get-up, sometimes with odd, Byronic collars, too much open at the neck, they wore their knapsacks and the caps that showed their guild. They came generally in the early morning while the invalids were strolling at the Sprudel. The sound of their young voices singing in part-chorus would be heard, growing near, passing close, then dying away melodiously among the trees.

A single little sharp discord vibrated through all this German harmony one day when I learned that in the Empire more children committed suicide than in any other country.

But soon this discord was lost amid the massive Teutonic polyphony of well-being. Of this well-being knowledge was enlarged by excursions to various towns. To Worms, for instance, that we might see the famous Luther Monument. Part of the journey thither lay through a fine forest. This the city of Frankfurt-am-Main owns and has forested for seven hundred years; using the wood all the time, but so wisely that the supply has maintained itself against the demand. I thought of our own forests, looted and leveled, and of ourselves boasting our glorious future while we obliterated that future's resources. Frankfurt was there to teach us better, had we chosen to learn.

IV

IV

I N Frankfurt-am-Main was born one of the three supreme poets since Greece and Rome—Goethe— from whom I shall quote more than once; but Frankfurt has present glories that I saw. It is one of many beautifully governed German cities. I grew even fond of its Union Station, since through this gate I entered so often the pleasures and edifications of the town. The trains were a symbol of the whole Empire. About a mile north of Nauheim the railroad passes under a bridge and curves out of sight. The four-fifteen was apt to be my express to Frankfurt. I would stand on the platform, watch in hand, looking northward for my train.

At four-eleven the bridge was invariably an empty hole. Invariably at four-twelve the engine filled the hole; then the train glided in quietly, and smoothly glided on, almost punctual to the second. So did the other trains.

The conductors were officials of disciplined courtesy and informed minds. They appeared at the door of your compartment, erect, requesting your ticket in an established formula. If you asked them something they told you correctly and with a Teutonic adequacy that was grave, but not gruff. Once only in a score of journeys did I encounter bad manners. Now I should never choose these admirable conductors for companions, but as conductors they were superior to the engaging fellow citizen who took my ticket down

in Georgia and, when I asked did his train usually make its scheduled connection at Yemassee Junction, cried out with contagious mirth :

“My Lawd, suh, 'most nevah !”

In these German trains another little discord jarred with some regularity : the German passengers they brought from Berlin, or were taking back to Berlin, were of a heavy impenetrable rudeness — quite another breed than the kindly Hessians of Frankfurt.

We know the saying of a floor — that it is so clean “you could eat your dinner off it.” All the streets of Frankfurt, that I saw, were clean like this. The system of street cars was lucid — and blessedly noiseless ! — and their conductors informed with the same adequate gravity I have already noted.

I found that I developed a special affection for Route 19, because this took me from the station to the opera house. But all routes took one to and through aspects of municipal perfection at which one stared with envy as one thought of home.

Oh, yes ! Frankfurt is a name to me compact with memories — memories of clean streets ; of streets full of by-passers who could direct you when you asked your way ; of streets empty of beggars, empty of all signs of desolate, drunken or idle poverty ; of streets bordered by substantial stone dwellings, with fragrant gardens ; of excellent shops ; the streets full of prosperous movement and bustle ; an absence of rags, a presence of good stout clothes ; a people of contented faces, whether they talked or were silent

— the same firm and broad contentment, like a tree deep-rooted, in the city face that was in the country face.

These burghers, these Frankfurters, seemed to be going about their business with a sort of solid yet placid energy, well and deliberately aimed, that would hit the mark at once without wasting powder. It was very different and very superior to the ill-arranged and hectic haste of New York and Chicago; here nobody seemed driven as though by invisible furies — the German business mind was not out of breath.

Such are my memories of Frankfurt at work. Frankfurt at leisure was to be seen in its Palm Garden. This was the town's place of general recreation; large, various, beautifully and intelligently planned; with space for babies to roll

in safety, and there were the babies rolling, and their nurses; with courts for tennis, and thither I saw adolescent Frankfurt strolling in flannels and short skirts after business hours; with benches where sat the more elderly, taking the air and gazing at the games or the flowers or the pleasant trees; with paths more sequestered that wound among bowers, convenient for sweethearts — but I did not see any, because I forbore to look. A central building held tropic plants and basins, and large rooms for bad weather, I suppose, with a restaurant; but on this fine day the music played and we dined outside.

An entrance fee, very small, served to make you respect the Palm Garden, since humanity seldom respects what it pays nothing for. Most unexpected show of

all in this Palm Garden were the flowers under glass. I had erroneously supposed that any German scheme of color would be heavy, and possibly garish. Never had I beheld more exquisite subtlety on so extended a scale of arrangement. One walked through aisle after aisle of roses and other blooms in these greenhouses — everywhere was the same delicate sense and feeling ; the same, in fact, in these flower schemes that one finds in German lyric verse, and in the songs of Schubert, Schumann and Franz.

It was in the opera house — Frankfurt has a fine and commodious one — that my whole impression of Germany's glory culminated. The performances drew their light from no Melbas or Carusos, or other meteors, but from a fixed constellation, now and then enriched by

some visitor; it was teamwork of drilled and even excellence, singers, chorus, orchestra and scenery unitedly equal to the occasion, in operas old and new, an immense sweep of repertory, with an audience to match — an accustomed audience, to whom music was traditional food, music having always grown here-about plenteously, indigenously, so that they took it as naturally as they took their Rhine wine, paying for it as moderately, going to hear it in rather plain clothes, as a rule — men in day dress, women in high-neck; not an audience that had to put on its diamonds in order to listen conspicuously to a costly and not comprehended exotic.

The difference between hearing opera where it grows and hearing it in New York is the difference between eating

strawberries warm from their vines in June and strawberries in January that have come a thousand miles by freight. Where opera grows, it is the blend of native music, singers and listeners that gives a ripe flavor of a warmth which Fifth Avenue can never purchase.

This, every performance in Frankfurt had; but even this could be raised to a higher key of inspiration. I walked in one night and found myself amid a pious ceremonial. They were giving an old work, of bygone design, stiff in outline, noble, remote from all present things. Why did they revive this somewhat pale and rigid classic? For contrast, variety? Not at all. Two hundred years ago this day, Gluck had been born. Gluck had written this opera. For this reason, then, Frankfurt was assembled to hear

Gluck's music and remember him ; and, as I looked at these living Germans honoring their classics, I thought it was truly a splendid people that not only possessed but practically nourished themselves with these masterpieces of their great dead.

But this was not all. This was Germany looking at its Past. In the Frankfurt opera house I also learned one of the ways in which Germany attends to its Future. It was on a Sunday afternoon. As I crossed the open space toward the opera house it seemed as though I were the only grown person bound there. Children by threes and fours, and in little groups, were streaming from every quarter, entering every door, tripping up the wide, handsome stairs, filling all the seats — boys and girls ; it was like the Pied Piper of Hamelin. After a few minutes

I found that I was indeed almost alone amid a rippling sea of children — nearly two thousand, as I later learned. In the boxes here and there was a parent or two with a family party, and dotted about the house a few scattered older heads among the young ones.

The overture began. “Hush!” went several little voices; the sprightly, expectant Babel fell to silence; they listened like a congregation in church.

Then the curtain rose. It was a gay old opera, tuneful, full of boisterous, innocent comedy and simple sentiment. Not Gluck this time; Gluck would have been a trifle severe for their young understandings. The enthusiasm and the attention of these boys and girls, with their clapping of hands and their laughter, soon affected the spirits of the singers

as a radiant day in spring ; it affected me. I envied the happy parents who had their children round them ; it was like some sort of wonderful April light. Beneath it the quaint, sweet old opera shone like a fruit tree in blossom. The actors became as children again themselves ; so did the fiddlers ; so did the conductor. I doubt if that little old opera, *Czaar und Zimmermann*, had ever felt younger in its life ; and I thought if the spirit of Goethe were watching Frankfurt, his city, to-day, it would add a new happiness to a moment of his Eternity.

Between the acts I was full of questions. What occasion was this ? I read the program, wherein was set forth a most interesting account of the composer — his character, life and adventures, with a historic account also of Peter the Great,

the hero of the opera ; but nothing about the occasion. So in the lobby I addressed myself to a group of the men I had seen dotted among the rows of children. The men were schoolmasters. The occasion was an experiment. The children were of the public schools of Frankfurt — not the oldest scholars, but the middle grades of the schools. For the oldest, Frankfurt had already provided opera days, but this was the first ever given for these younger boys and girls. The cost was twelve-and-a-half cents a seat. If it proved a success, a second would follow in two weeks. At the theater, throughout each winter school term, plays were given expressly for them in this way — the great German classics ; but never any opera before to-day.

Well, the performance went on ; but I was obliged, near the end of it, to hasten away to my train for Nauheim, most reluctantly leaving the sight and company of those two thousand joyous children of the Frankfurt public schools. "Rosy cheeks predominated ; eyeglasses were rare."—Again I quote from my own diary :— "The children seemed between ten and fifteen. The boys had good foreheads and big backs to their heads."

V

V

NOTHING can efface this memory, nothing can efface the whole impression of Germany; in retrospect this picture rises clear — the fair aspect and order of the country and the cities, the well-being of the people, their contented faces, their grave adequacy, their kindness; and, crowning all material prosperity, the feeling for beauty as shown by their gardens, and, better and more important still, the reverent value for their great native poets and musicians, so attentive, so cherishing, seeing to it that the young generation began early its acquaintance with the masterpieces that are Germany's heritage of inspiration.

54 THE PENTECOST OF CALAMITY

Such was the splendor of this empire as it unrolled before me through May and June, 1914, that by contrast the state of its two great neighbors, France and England, seemed distressing and unenviable. Paris was shabby and incoherent, London full of unrest. Instead of Germany's order, confusion prevailed in France; instead of Germany's placidity, disturbance prevailed in England; and in both France and England incompetence seemed the chief note. The French face, alike in city or country, was too often a face of worried sadness or revolt; men spoke of political scandals and dissensions petty and unpatriotic in spirit, and a political trial, revealing depths of every sort of baseness and dishonor, filled the newspapers; while in England, besides discord of suffrage and discord of labor,

civil war seemed so imminent that no one would have been surprised to hear of it any day.

So that I thought: Suppose a soul, arrived on earth from another world, wholly ignorant of earth, without any mortal ties whatever, were given its choice after a survey of the nations, which it should be born in and belong to? In May, June and July, 1914, my choice would have been, not France, not England, not America, but Germany.

It was on the seventh day of June, 1914, that Frankfurt assembled her school children in the opera house, to further their taste and understanding of Germany's supreme national art. Exactly eleven months later, on May 7, 1915, a German torpedo sank the Lusitania; and the cities of the Rhine celebrated this also for their school children.

VI

VI

THE world is in agony. We witness the most terrible catastrophe known to mankind — most terrible, not from its huge size, but because it is a moral catastrophe. Through centuries of suffering and cruelty, guided by religion, we thought we had attained to knowledge of and belief in a public right between nations, and an honorable warfare, if warfare must be. This has been shattered to pieces. No need to investigate further the atrocities at Liège or Louvain. These and more have indeed been amply proved, but what need of proof after the Lusitania school festival? In that holi-

day we see the feast of *Kultur*, the Teutonic climax. How came it to pass? Is it the same Germany who gave those two holidays to her school children? The opera in Frankfurt, and this orgy of barbaric blood-lust, guttural with the deep basses of the fathers and shrill with the trebles of their young? Their young, to whom they teach one day the gentle melodies of Lortzing, and to exult in world-assassination on another?

Goethe said — and the words glow with new prophetic light: “Germans are of yesterday; . . . a few centuries must still elapse before . . . it will be said of them, ‘It is long since they were barbarians.’” And again: “National hatred is a peculiar thing. You will always find it strongest and most violent where there is the lowest degree of *Kul-*

tur.” But how came it to pass? Do the two holidays proceed from the same *Kultur*, the same Fatherland?

They do; and nothing in the whole story of mankind is more strange than the case of Germany — how Germany through generations has been carefully trained for this wild spring at the throat of Europe that she has made. The Servian assassination has nothing to do with it, save that it accidentally struck the hour. Months and years before that, Germany was crouching for her spring. In one respect the war she has incubated is the old assault of Xerxes, of Alexander, of Napoleon, of every one who has been visited by the dangerous dream of world conquest. Only, never before has the dream been taught to a people on such a scale, not merely be-

cause of the vast modern apparatus, but much more because no subjects of any despot have ever been so politically docile and credulous as the Germans.

In another respect this war resembles strikingly our own and the French Revolution. All three were prepared and fomented by books, by teachings from books. The American brain seized hold of certain doctrines and generalizations of Locke, Montesquieu, Burlamaqui and Beccaria concerning the rights of man and the consent of the governed. The French brain nourished and inspired itself with some theorems of the encyclopedists and of Rousseau about man's natural innocence and the social contract. The Teutonic brain assimilated some diplomatic and philosophic precepts laid down by Machiavelli,

Nietzsche and Treitschke. Indeed, Fichte, during the Winter of 1807-08, at the University of Berlin, made an address to the German people which may be accounted the first famous academic harbinger and source of the present Teutonic state of mind. Here the parallel stops. With America and France, war made way for independence, liberty and freedom, political and moral; Germany would establish everywhere her absolute military despotism. We shall reach in due course the full statement of her creed; we are not ready for it yet.

VII

VII

OFTEN of late I have thought of those twenty-one locomotives moving along the bank of the Rhine. They were a symbol. They stood for the House of Hohenzollern; they carried Cæsar and all his fortunes, which had begun long before locomotives were invented. July 19, 1870, is one of the dates that does not remain of the same size, but grows, has not done growing yet, will be one of History's enormous dates before it is done growing. The heavier descendants of those locomotives have been lugging to France a larger destruction, and more hideous, than their ancestors dragged

there; but this new freight belongs to the same haul, forms part of one vast organic materialistic growth, and spiritual eclipse, of which 1870 and 1914 are important parts, but by no means the whole.

Woven with it is the struggle of nations for the possession of their own soul. Consider 1870 in this light: Through that war France took her soul out of the custody of an Emperor and handed it to the people; through the same war Germany placed her soul in the hands of an Emperor. Defeated France, rid of her Bonapartes; victorious Germany, shackled to her Hohenzollern! In the light of forty-five years how those two opposite actions gleam with significance, and how in the same light the two words *defeat* and *victory*

grow lambent with shifting import !
Unless our democratic faith be vain,
France walked forward then, and Ger-
many backward. But this did not seem
so last June.

VIII.

VIII

HAD it not culminated before our eyes, the case of Germany would be perfectly incredible. As it stands to-day, the truly incredible thing is that she should have made her spring at the throat of an unexpecting, unprepared world. Now that she *has* sprung, the diagnosis of her case has been often and ably made — before the event, Dr. Charles Sarolea, a Belgian gentleman, made it notably; but prophets are seldom recognized except by posterity. The case of Germany is a hospital case, a case for the alienist; the mania of grandeur, complemented by the mania of persecution.

Very well do I remember the first dawning hint I had of this diseased mental state. It was Wednesday, August 5, 1914. We were in mid-ocean. Before the bulletin board we passengers were clustered to read that day's marconigram and learn what more of Europe had fallen to pieces since yesterday. This morning was posted the Kaiser's proclamation, quoting Hamlet, calling on his subjects "to be or not to be," and to defy a world conspired against them. In these words there was such a wild, incoherent ring of exaltation that I said to a friend: "Can he be off his head?"

Later in that voyage we sped silent and unlanterned through the fog from two German cruisers, of which nobody seemed personally afraid but one stewardess. She said: "They're all

wild beasts. They would send us all to the bottom." No one believed her. Since then we believe her. Since then we have heard the wild incoherent ring in many German voices besides the Kaiser's, and we know to-day that Germany's mania is analogous to those mental epidemics of the Middle Ages, when fanaticism, usually religious, sent entire communities into various forms of madness.

The case of Germany is the Prussianizing of Germany. Long after all of us are gone, men will still be studying this war; and, whatever responsibility for it be apportioned among the nations, the huge weight and bulk of guilt will be laid on Prussia and the Hohenzollern — unless, indeed, it befall that Germany conquer the world and the Kaiser dictate his version of History to us all,

suppressing all other versions, as he has conducted the training of his subjects since 1888. But this will not be; whatever comes first, this cannot be the end. If I believed that the earth would be Prussianized, life would cease to be desirable.

To me the whole case of Germany, the whole process, seems a fatalistic thing, destined, inevitable; cosmic forces above and beyond men's comprehension flooding this northern land with their high tide, as once they flooded southern coasts; giving to this Teuton race its turn, its day, its hour of white heat and of bloom, its temperamental greatness, its strength and excess of vital sap, intellectual, procreative — all this grandeur to be hurled into tragedy by its own action.

The process goes back a long way behind Napoleon — who stayed it for a while — to years when we see the Germany of the Reformation, Poetry, Music, the grand Germany, blossoming in the very same moment that the Prussian poison was also germinating. About 1830, Heine perceived and wrote scornfully concerning the new and evil influence. This was a germination of state and family ambition combined, fermenting at last into lust for world dominion. It grows quite visible first in Frederick the Great. By him the Prussian state of mind and international ethics began to be formulated. By force and fraud he annexed weak peoples' territory. He cut Poland's body in three, blasphemously inviting Russia and Austria to partake with him of his Eucharist.

Theft has followed theft since Frederick's. His cynical, strong spirit guided Prussia after Waterloo, guided first the predecessor of Bismarck and next Bismarck himself, with his stealing of Schleswig-Holstein, his dishonest mutilation of the telegram at Ems and the subsequent rape of Alsace and Lorraine in 1870. Very plain it is to see now, and very sad, why the small separate German states that had indeed produced their giants — their Luthers, Goethes, Beethovens — but had always suffered military defeat, had been the shambles of their conquerors for centuries, should after 1870 hail their new-created Emperor. Had he not led them united to the first glory and conquest they had ever known? Had he not got them back Alsace and Lorraine, which

France had stolen from them two hundred years ago? So they handed their soul to the Hohenzollern. This marks the beginning of the end.

IX

IX

WE can hardly emphasize too much, or sufficiently underline, the moral effect of 1870 on the German nature, the influence it had on the German mind. It is essential to a clear understanding of the full Prussianizing process that now set in. On the German's innate docility and credulity many have dwelt, but few on what 1870 did to this. Only with Bismarck's quick, tremendous victory over France as the final explanation is the abject and servile faith that the Germans thenceforth put in Prussia rendered conceivable to reason. They blindly swallowed the sham that Bismarck gave them as universal suffrage.

They swallowed extreme political and military restraint. They swallowed a rigid compulsion in schools, which led to the excess in child suicide I have mentioned. They swallowed a state of life where outside the indicated limits almost nothing was permitted and almost everything was forbidden.

But all this proscription is merely material and has been attended by great material welfare. Intellectual speculation was apparently unfettered; but he who dared philosophize about Liberty and the Divine right of Kings found it was not. Prussia put its uniform not only on German bodies but on their brains. Literature and music grew correspondingly sterilized. Drama, fiction, poetry and the comic papers became invaded by a new violence and

a new, heavy obscenity. Impatience with the noble German classics was bred by Prussia. What wonder, since freedom was their essence?

Beethoven, after Napoleon made himself Emperor, tore off the dedication of his "Eroica" symphony to Napoleon. And Goethe had said: "Napoleon affords us an example of the danger of elevating oneself to the Absolute and sacrificing everything to the carrying out of an idea." Goethe fell frankly out of date in Berlin. Symphony orchestras could no longer properly interpret Mozart and Beethoven. A strange blend of frivolity and bestiality began to pervade the whole realm of German art. Scientific eminence degenerated *pari passu*. No originator of the dimensions of Helmholtz was produced, but a herd of dili-

gent and thorough workers-out of the ideas got from England — like the aniline dyes — or from France — like the Wassermann tests — and seldom credited to their sources. So poor grew the academic tone at Berlin that a Munich professor declined an offer of promotion thither.

For forty years German school children and university students sat in the thickening fumes that exhaled from Berlin, spread everywhere by professors chosen at the fountainhead. Any professor or editor who dared speak anything not dictated by Prussia, for German credulity to write down on its slate, was dealt with as a heretic.

Out of the fumes emerged three colossal shapes — the Super-man, the Super-race and the Super-state: the new Trinity of German worship.

X

X

T HUS was Germany shut in from the world. Even her Socialist-Democrats abjectly conformed. China built a stone wall, Germany a wall of the mind.

To assert that any great nation has in these modern days deliberately built around herself such a wall, may seem an extreme statement, and I will therefore support it with an instance — only one instance out of many, out of hundreds; it will suffice to indicate the sort of information about the world lying outside the wall that Germany has carefully prepared for the children in her schools. I quote from the letter

of an American parent recently living in Berlin, who placed his children in a school there: "The text books were unique. I suppose there was not in any book of physics or chemistry that they studied an admission that a citizen of some other country had taken any forward step; every step was by some line of argument assigned to a German. As you might expect, the history of the modern world is the work of German Heroes. The oddest example, however, was the geography used by Katherine. (His daughter, aged thirteen.) This contained maps indicating the Deutsche Gebiete (the German "spheres of influence" in foreign lands) in striking colors. In North and South America, including the United States and Canada, there are said to be

three classes of inhabitants — negroes, Indians and Germans. For the United States there is a black belt for negroes and a middle-west section for Indians; but the rest is Deutsche Gebiete. Canada is occupied mainly by Indians. The matter was brought to my attention because one of Katherine's girl friends asked her whether she was of negro or Indian blood; and when she replied she was neither her friend pointed out that this was impossible for she surely was not German." Information less laughable about the morals taught in the German schools I forbear to quote.

During forty years Germany sat within her wall, learning and repeating Prussian incantations. It recalls those savage rites where the participants, by shouting and by concerted rhythmic movements,

work themselves into a frothing state. This has befallen Germany. Within her wall of moral isolation her sight has grown distorted, her sense of proportion is lost; a set of reeling delusions possesses her — her own greatness, her mission of *Kultur*, her contempt for the rest of mankind, her grievance that mankind is in league to cramp and suppress her.

These delusions have been attended by their proper Nemesis: Germany has misunderstood us all — everybody and everything outside her wall.

Like the bewitched dwarfs in certain old magic tales, whose talk reveals their evil without their knowing it, Germans constantly utter words of the most naïf and grotesque self-betrayal — as when the German ambassador was being es-

corted away from England and was urged by his escort not to be so downcast; the war being no fault of his. He answered in sincere sadness:

“Oh, you don’t realize! My future is broken. I was sent to watch England and tell my Emperor the right moment for him to strike, when England’s internal disturbances would make it impossible for her to fight us. I told him the moment had come.”

Or again, when a German in Brussels said to an American:

“We were sincerely sorry for Belgium; but we feel it is better for that country to suffer, even to disappear, than for our Empire, so much larger and more important, to be torpedoed by our treacherous enemies.”

Or again, when Doctor Dernburg

shows us why Germany had to murder eleven hundred passengers:

“It has been the custom heretofore to take off passengers and crew. . . . But a submarine . . . cannot do it. The submarine is a frail craft and may easily be rammed, and a speedy ship is capable of running away from it.”

No more than the dwarf has Germany any conception what such candid words reveal of herself to ears outside her Teutonic wall — that she has walked back to the morality of the Stone Age and made ancient warfare more hideous through the devices of modern science.

Thus her Nemesis is to misunderstand the world. She blundered as to what Belgium would do, what France would do, what Russia would do; and she most desperately blundered as to what

England would do. And she expected American sympathy.

Summarized thus, the Prussianizing of Germany seems fantastic; fantastic, too, and not of the real world, the utter credulity, the abject, fervent faith of the hypnotized young men. Yet here are a young German's recent words. I have seen his letter, written to a friend of mine. He was tutor to my friend's children. Delightful, of admirable education, there was no sign in him of hypnotism. He went home to fight. There he inhaled afresh the Prussian fumes. Presently his letter came, just such a letter as one would wish from an ardent, sincere, patriotic youth — for the first pages. Then the fumes show their work and he suddenly breaks out in the following intellectual vertigo:

“Individual life has become worthless ; even the uneducated men feel that something greater than individual happiness is at stake, and the educated know that it is the culture of Europe. By her shameless lies and cold-blooded hypocrisy England has forfeited her claim to the title of a country of culture. France has passed her prime anyway, your country is too far behind in its development, the other countries are too small to carry on the heritage of Greek culture and Christian faith — the two main components of every higher culture to-day ; so *we* have to do it, and we *shall* do it — even if we and millions more of us should have to die.”

There you have it ! A cultivated student, a noble nature, a character of promise, Prussianized, with millions like

him, into a gibbering maniac, and flung into a caldron of blood ! Could tragedy be deeper ? Goethe's young Wilhelm Meister thus images the ruin of Hamlet's mind and how it came about : " An oak tree is planted in a costly vase, which should only have borne beautiful flowers in its bosom ; the roots expand and the vase is shattered." Thus has Prussia, planted in Germany, cracked the Empire.

XI

XI

AND now we are ready for the Prussian Creed. The following is an embodiment, a composite statement, of Prussianism, compiled sentence by sentence from the utterances of Prussians, the Kaiser and his generals, professors, editors, and Nietzsche, part of it said in cold blood, years before this war, and all of it a declaration of faith now being ratified by action:

“We Hohenzollerns take our crown from God alone. On me the Spirit of God has descended. I regard my whole . . . task as appointed by heaven. Who opposes me I shall crush to pieces.

Nothing must be settled in this world without the intervention . . . of . . . the German Emperor. He who listens to public opinion runs a danger of inflicting immense harm on . . . the State. When one occupies certain positions in the world one ought to make dupes rather than friends. Christian morality cannot be political. Treaties are only a disguise to conceal other political aims. Remember that the German people are the chosen of God.

“Might is right and . . . is decided by war. Every youth who enters a beer-drinking and dueling club will receive the true direction of his life. War in itself is a good thing. God will see to it that war always recurs. The efforts directed toward the abolition of war must not only be termed foolish,

but absolutely immoral. The peace of Europe is only a secondary matter for us. The sight of suffering does one good; the infliction of suffering does one more good. This war must be conducted as ruthlessly as possible.

"The Belgians should not be shot *dead*. They should be . . . so left as to make impossible all hope of recovery. The troops are to treat the Belgian civil population with unrelenting severity and frightfulness. Weak nations have not the same right to live as powerful . . . nations. The world has no longer need of little nationalities. We Germans have little esteem and less respect . . . for Holland. We need to enlarge our colonial possessions; such territorial acquisitions we can only realize at the cost of other states.

“Russia must no longer be our frontier. The Polish press should be annihilated . . . likewise the French and Danish. . . . The Poles should be allowed . . . three privileges: to pay taxes, serve in the army, and shut their jaws. France must be so completely crushed that she will never again cross our path. You must remember that we have not come to make war on the French people, but to bring them the higher Civilization. The French have shown themselves decadent and without respect for the Divine law. Against England we fight for booty. Our real enemy is England. We have to . . . crush absolutely perfidious Albion . . . subdue her to such an extent that her influence all over the world is broken forever.

“German should replace English as


the world language. English, the bastard tongue . . . must be swept into the remotest corners . . . until it has returned to its original elements of an insignificant pirate dialect. The German language acts as a blessing which, coming direct from the hand of God, sinks into the heart like a precious balm. To us, more than any other nation, is intrusted the true structure of human existence. Our own country, by employing military power, has attained a degree of Culture which it could never have reached by peaceful means.

“The civilization of mankind suffers every time a German becomes an American. Let us drop our miserable attempts to excuse Germany’s action. We willed it. Our might shall create

a new law in Europe. It is Germany that strikes. We are morally and intellectually superior beyond all comparison. . . . We must . . . fight with Russian beasts, English mercenaries and Belgian fanatics. We have nothing to apologize for. It is no consequence whatever if all the monuments ever created, all the pictures ever painted, all the buildings ever erected by the great architects of the world, be destroyed. . . . The ugliest stone placed to mark the burial of a German grenadier is a more glorious monument than all the cathedrals of Europe put together. No respect for the tombs of Shakespeare, Newton and Faraday.

“They call us barbarians. What of it? The German claim must be: . . . Education to hate. . . . Organization

of hatred. . . . Education to the desire for hatred. Let us abolish unripe and false shame. . . . To us is given faith, hope and hatred; but hatred is the greatest among them."



XII

XII

CAN the splendid land of Goethe unlearn its Prussian lesson and regain its own noble sanity, or has it too long inhaled the fumes? There is no saying yet. Still they sit inside their wall. Like a trained chorus they still repeat that England made the war, that Louvain was not destroyed, that Rheims was not bombarded, that their Fatherland is the unoffending victim of world-jealousy. When travelers ask what proofs they have, the trained chorus has but one reply: "Our government officials tell us so." Berlin, Cologne, Munich — all their cities — give this

answer to the traveler. Nothing that we know do they know. It is kept from them. Their brains still wear the Prussian uniform and go mechanically through the Prussian drill. Will adversity lift this curse?

Something happened at Louvain — a little thing, but let it give us hope. In the house of a professor at the University some German soldiers were quartered, friendly, considerate, doing no harm. Suddenly one day, in obedience to new orders, they fell on this home, burned books, wrecked rooms, destroyed the house and all its possessions. Its master is dead. His wife, looking on with her helpless children, saw a soldier give an apple to a child.

“Thank you,” she said; “you, at least, have a heart.”

"No, madam," said the German; "it is broken."

Goethe said: "He who wishes to exert a useful influence must be careful to insult nothing. . . . We are become too humane to enjoy the triumphs of Cæsar." Ninety years after he said this Germany took the Belgian women from their ruined villages — some of these women being so infirm that for months they had not been out-of-doors — and loaded them on trains like cattle, and during several weeks exposed them publicly to the jeers and scoffs and insults of German crowds through city after city.

Perhaps the German soldier whose heart was broken by Louvain will be one of a legion, and thus, perhaps, through thousands of broken German

hearts, Germany may become herself again. She has hurled calamity on a continent. She has struck to pieces a Europe whose very unpreparedness answers her ridiculous falsehood that she was attacked first. Never shall Europe be again as it was. Our brains, could they take in the whole of this war, would burst.

But Calamity has its Pentecost. When its mighty wind rushed over Belgium and France, and its tongues of fire sat on each of them, they, too, like the apostles in the New Testament, began to speak as the Spirit gave them utterance. Their words and deeds have filled the world with a splendor the world had lost. The flesh, that has dominated our day and generation, fell away in the presence of the Spirit. I have

heard Belgians bless the martyrdom and awakening of their nation. They have said :

“Do not talk of our suffering; talk of our glory. We have found ourselves.”

Frenchmen have said to me: “For forty-four years we have been unhappy, in darkness, without health, without faith, believing the true France dead. Resurrection has come to us.” I heard the French Ambassador, Jules Jusserand, say in a noble speech: “George Eliot profoundly observes that to every man comes a crisis when in a moment, without chance for reflection, he must decide and act instantly. What determines his decision? His whole past, the daily choices between good and evil that he has made throughout his previous

years — these determine his decision. Such a crisis fell in a moment on France; she acted instantly, true to her historic honor and courage."

Every day deeds of faith, love and renunciation are done by the score and the hundred which will never be recorded, and every one of which is noble enough to make an immortal song. All over the broken map of Europe, through stricken thousands of square miles, such deeds are being done by Servians, Russians, Poles, Belgians, French and English, — yes, and Germans too, — the souls of men and women rising above their bodies, flinging them away for the sake of a cause. Think of one incident only, only one of the white-hot gleams of the Spirit that have reached us from the raging furnace. Out from the burning

cathedral of Rheims they were dragging the wounded German prisoners lying helpless inside on straw that had begun to burn. In front of the church the French mob was about to shoot or tear to pieces those crippled, defenseless enemies. You and I might well want to kill an enemy who had set fire to Mount Vernon, the house of the Father of our Country.

For more than seven hundred years that great church of Rheims had been the sacred shrine of France. One minute more and those Germans lying or crawling outside the church door would have been destroyed by the furious people. But above the crash of rafters and glass, the fall of statues, the thunder of bombarding cannon, and the cries of French execration, rose one man's voice. There

on the steps of the ruined church stood a priest. He lifted his arms and said :

“Stop; remember the ancient ways and chivalry of France. It is not Frenchmen who trample on a maimed and fallen foe. Let us not descend to the level of our enemies.”

It was enough. The French remembered France. Those Germans were conveyed in safety to their appointed shelter — and far away, across the lands and oceans, hearts throbbed and eyes grew wet that had never looked on Rheims.

These are the tongues of fire; this is the Pentecost of Calamity. Often it must have made brothers again of those who found themselves prone on the battlefield, neighbors awaiting the grave. In Flanders a French officer

of cavalry, shot through the chest, lay dying, but with life enough still to write his story to the lady of his heart. He wrote thus :

“There are two other men lying near me, and I do not think there is much hope for them either. One is an officer of a Scottish regiment and the other a private in the uhlans. They were struck down after me, and when I came to myself I found them bending over me, rendering first aid. The Britisher was pouring water down my throat from his flask, while the German was endeavoring to stanch my wound with an antiseptic preparation served out to their troops by the medical corps. The Highlander had one of his legs shattered, and the German had several pieces of shrapnel buried in his side.

"In spite of their own sufferings, they were trying to help me; and when I was fully conscious again the German gave us a morphia injection and took one himself. His medical corps had also provided him with the injection and the needle, together with printed instructions for their use. After the injection, feeling wonderfully at ease, we spoke of the lives we had lived before the war. We all spoke English, and we talked of the women we had left at home. Both the German and the Britisher had been married only a year. . . .

"I wondered — and I suppose the others did — why we had fought each other at all. I looked at the Highlander, who was falling to sleep, exhausted, and, in spite of his drawn face and mud-stained uniform, he looked

the embodiment of freedom. Then I thought of the Tricolor of France and all that France had done for liberty. Then I watched the German, who had ceased to speak. He had taken a prayer book from his knapsack, and was trying to read a service for soldiers wounded in battle. And . . . while I watched him I realized what we were fighting for. . . . He was dying in vain, while the Britisher and myself, by our deaths, would probably contribute something toward the cause of civilization and peace."

Thus wrote this young French officer of cavalry to the lady of his heart, the American lady to whom he was engaged. The Red Cross found the letter at his side. Through it she learned the manner of his death. This, too, is the Pentecost of Calamity.

XIII

XIII

AND what do the women say — the women who lose such men? Thus do they decline to attend at The Hague the Peace Congress of foolish women who have lost nobody:

“How would it be possible, in an hour like this, for us to meet women of the enemy’s countries? . . . Have they disavowed the . . . crimes of their government? Have they protested against the violation of Belgium’s neutrality? Against offenses to the law of nations? Against the crimes of their army and navy? If their voices had been raised it was too feebly for the echo of their

protest to reach us across our violated and devastated territories. . . .”

And one celebrated lady writes to a delegate at The Hague:

“Madam, are you really English? . . . I confess I understand better English-women who wish to fight. . . . To ask Frenchwomen in such an hour to come and talk of arbitration and mediation and discourse of an armistice is to ask them to deny their nation. . . . All that Frenchwomen could desire is to awake and acclaim in their children, their husbands and brothers, and in their very fathers, the conviction that defensive war is a thing so holy that all must be abandoned, forgotten, sacrificed, and death must be faced heroically to defend and save that which is most sacred . . . our country. . . . It would

be to deny my dead to look for anything beside that which is and ought to be! — if the God of right and justice, the enemy of the devil and of force and crazy pride, is the true God."

Thus awakened and transfigured by Calamity do men and women rise in their full spiritual nature, efface themselves, and utter sacred words. Calamity, when the Lusitania went down, wrung from the lips of an awakened German, Kuno Francke, this noble burst of patriotism :

*Ends Europe so? Then, in Thy mercy,
God,
Out of the foundering planet's gruesome
night
Pluck Thou my people's soul. From rage
and craze*

*Of the staled Earth, O lift Thou it aloft,
Re-youthed, and through transfiguration
cleansed;*

*So beaming shall it light the newer time,
And heavenly, on a world refreshed, un-
fold.*

Soul of my race, thou sinkest not to dust.

If Germany's tragedy be, as I think, the deepest of all, the hope is that she, too, will be touched by the Pentecost of Calamity, and pluck her soul from Prussia, to whom she gave it in 1870. Thus shall the curse be lifted.

XIV

XIV



ND what of ourselves in this well-nigh world-wide cloud-burst?

Every man has walked at night through gloom where objects were dim and hard to see, when suddenly a flash of lightning has struck the landscape livid. Trees close by, fences far off, houses, fields, animals and the faces of people — all things stand transfixed by a piercing distinctness. So now, in this thunderstorm of war, each nation and every man and woman is searchingly revealed by the perpetual lightnings. Whatever this American nation is, whatever aspect, noble or ignoble, our De-

mocracy shows in the glare of this cataclysm, is even already engraved on the page of History, will be the portrait of the United States in 1914-15 for all time.

I want no better photograph of any individual than his opinion of this war. If he has none, that is a photograph of him. Last autumn there were Americans who wished the papers would stop printing war news and give their readers a change. So we have their photographs, as well as those of other Americans who merely calculated the extra dollars they could squeeze out of Europe's need and agony. But that — thank God! — is not what we look like as a whole. Our sympathy has poured out for Belgium a springtide of help and relief; it has flowed to the wounded and afflicted

of Poland, Servia, France and England. A continuous publishing of books, magazine articles and editorials, full of justice and of anger at Prussia's long-prepared and malignant assault, should prove to Europe that American hearts and heads by the thousand and hundred thousand are in the right place. Merely the stand taken by the *New York Sun*, *New York Times*, *Outlook* and *Philadelphia Public Ledger* — to name no more — saves us from the reproach of moral neutrality: saves us as individuals.

Yet, somehow, in Europe's eyes we fall short. The Allies, in spite of their recognition of our material generosity, find us spiritually wanting. In the *London Punch*, on the sinking of the *Lusitania*, Britannia stands perplexed and indignant behind the bowed figure

of America, and, with a hand on her shoulder, addresses her thus:

*In silence you have looked on felon blows,
On butcher's work of which the waste
lands reek;
Now, in God's name, from Whom your
greatness flows,
Sister, will you not speak?*

This is asked of us not as individuals but as a nation; and as a nation our only spokesman is our Government: "Sister, will you not speak?" Well — we did speak; but after nine months of silence. This silence, in the opinion of French and Belgian emissaries who have talked to me with courteous frankness, constitutes our moral failure.

"When this war began" — they say — "we all looked to you. You were the

great Democracy; you were not involved; you would speak the justifying word we longed for. We knew you must keep out politically; this was your true part and your great strength. We altogether agreed with your President there. But why did your universities remain dumb? The University of Chicago stopped the mouth of a Belgian professor who was going to present Belgium's case in public. Your press has been divided. The word we expected from you has never come. You sent us your charity; but what we wanted was justice, ratification of our cause."

To this I have answered :

"First — Our universities do not and cannot sit like yours in high seats, inspiring public opinion. I wish they did. Second — We are not yet melted into

one nationality; we are a mosaic of languages and bloods; yet, even so, never in my life have I seen the American press and people so united on any question. Third — Our charity is our very way — the only way we have — of telling you we are with you. I am glad you recognize the necessity of our political neutrality. Anything else would have been, both historically and as an act of folly, unprecedented. Fourth — Do not forget that George Washington advised us to mind our own business."

But they reply: "Isn't this your own business?" And there they touch the core of the matter.

Across the sea the deadliest assault ever made on Democracy has been going on, month after month. We send bread and bandages to the

wounded ; individually we denounce the assault. Columbia and Uncle Sam stand looking on. Is this quite enough ? War being out of the question, was there nothing else ? No protest to register ? Did the wide ocean wholly let Columbia out ? Europe, weltering in her own failure, had turned towards us a wistful look.

I cannot tell what George Washington would have thought ; I only know that my answer to my European friends leaves them unconvinced — and therefore how can it quite satisfy me ? Minds are exalted now, and white-hot. When they cool, what will our historic likeness be as revealed in the lightnings of this cosmic emergency ? Will it be the portrait of a people who sold its birthright for a mess of pottage ? Viewing how

we have given, and the tone of our press, perhaps this would hardly be just. Yet I can not but regret that we did not protest. What we lost in not doing so I see clearly; I can not see clearly what we gained. We may argue thus in our defense: If it is deemed that we missed a great opportunity in not protesting as signatories of the violated Hague conventions, are not our proofs of the violations more authentic now than at the time? What we heard was incredible to American minds. We had never made or known such war. By the time the truth was established a protest might have seemed somewhat belated. Well, this is all the explanation we can offer. Is it enough?

It is too early to answer; certain it is that not as we see ourselves but as

others see us, so shall we forever be. Certain it is also, and eternally, that through suffering alone men and nations find their greater selves. It is fifty years since we Americans knew the Pentecost of Calamity. These years have been too easy. We have not had to live dangerously enough. We have prospered, we have been immune, and our prosperity has proved somewhat a curse in disguise.

In these times that uncover men's souls and the souls of nations, has our soul come to light, or only our huge, lavish body? In 1865 we had found our soul indeed. Where is it gone? We have been witnessing many "scholarly retreats," and every day we have had to hear the "maxims of a low prudence." Have they sunk to the core and killed it? God forbid! But since August, 1914,

we have stood listening to the cry of our European brothers-in-Liberty. They did not ask our feeble arm to strike in their cause, but they yearned for our voice and did not get it. Will History acquit us of this silence?

Meanwhile, the maxims of a low prudence, masquerading as Christianity, daily counsel us to keep our arm feeble. It was not so that Washington survived Valley Forge, or Lincoln won through to Appomattox. If the Fourth of July and the Declaration it celebrates still mean anything to us, let our arm be strong.

This for our own sake. For the sake of mankind, if this war brings home to us that we now sit in the council of nations and share directly in the general responsibility for the world's well-being,

we shall have taken a great stride in national and spiritual maturity, and our talk about the brotherhood of man may progress from rhetoric towards realization.

XV

XV

WE have yet to find our greater selves. We have also yet to realize that Europe, since the Spanish War, has counted us in the concert of great nations far more than we have counted ourselves.

Somebody wrote in the New York Sun :

*We are not English, German, Swede,
Or Austrian, Russian, French or Pole;
But we have made a separate breed
And gained a separate soul.*

It sounds well; it means nothing; its sum total is zero. America asserts the

brotherhood of man and then talks about a separate soul !

To speak of the Old World and the New World is to speak in a dead language. The world is one. All humanity is in the same boat. The passengers multiply, but the boat remains the same size. And people who rock the boat must be stopped by force. America can no more separate itself from the destiny of Europe than it can escape the natural laws of the universe.

Because we declared political independence, does any one still harbor the delusion that we are independent of the acts and fortunes of monarchs? If so, let him consider only these four events: In 1492 a Spanish Queen financed a sailor named Columbus — and Europe reached out and laid a hand on this

hemisphere. In 1685 a French King revoked an edict — and thousands of Huguenots enriched our stock. In 1803 a French consul, to spite Britain, sold us some land — it was pretty much everything west of the Mississippi. One might well have supposed we were independent of the heir of Austria. In 1914 they killed him, and Europe fell to pieces — and that fall is shaking our ship of state from stem to stern. There may be some citizens down in the hold who do not know it — among a hundred million people you cannot expect to have no imbeciles.


Thus, from Palos, in 1492, to Sarajevo, in 1914, the hand of Europe has drawn us ever and ever closer.

Yes, indeed; we are all in the same boat. Europe has never forgotten some

words spoken here once: "That government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth." She waited to hear us repeat that in some form when The Hague conventions we signed were torn to scraps of paper. Perhaps nothing save calamity will teach us what Europe is thankful to have learned again — that some things are worse than war, and that you can pay too high a price for peace; but that you cannot pay too high for the finding and keeping of your own soul.

[FINIS]

THE following pages contain advertisements
of Macmillan books by the same author



By OWEN WISTER

The Virginian

A Horseman of the Plains

WITH EIGHT FULL-PAGE ILLUSTRATIONS

BY ARTHUR I. KELLER

Cloth, 12mo, \$1.50

"There is not a page in Mr. Wister's new book which is not interesting. This is its first great merit, that it arouses the sympathy of the reader and holds him absorbed and amused to the end. It does a great deal more for him. 'Whoever reads the first page will find it next to impossible to put the book down until he has read every one of the five hundred and four in the book, and then he will wish there were more of them.'"—*New York Tribune*.

"Mr. Wister has drawn real men and real women. . . . In 'The Virginian' he has put forth a book that will be remembered and read with interest many years hence."—*Chicago American*.

"The story is human and alive. It has the 'touch and go' of the vibrating life of the expansive American West and puts the country and the people vividly before the reader."—*Philadelphia Times-Saturday Review*.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

Publishers 64-66 Fifth Avenue New York

By OWEN WISTER

Members of the Family

Vivid Sketches of Life on the Western Plains

Decorated cloth, 12mo, \$1.25

"Highly finished and serves to mirror the West of thirty years ago, when the picturesque cowboy was the dominant figure in every Western settlement."—*San Francisco Chronicle*.

"A legitimate successor to 'The Virginian,' a story of Western tales, full of color and outdoors, and a shrewd relish of humor."—*Albany Argus*.

"It is a book that one may pick up for a few moments and be transported back to the days which are no more, when the Indian and the ranchman, the soldier and the gambler and the adventurer, thrown together, created a society that was never dull. In these stories Mr. Wister has reproduced this life in fine fashion. The atmosphere of the book is buoyant. The spirit of the wild is in it. It is a delineation of life and society made familiar to an earlier generation by the nickel library, but very much truer to life and expressed by a literary artist."—*Boston Herald*.

"It is a pleasant hour with the world's great minds and a strong man's belief in the greatness of his native land."—*Lexington Herald*.

"The stories show Mr. Wister at his best. It would be a difficult task to give the stories a rating as to value. All are good. Admirers of Wister will find in this book one that they will read several times."—*Detroit News*.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

Publishers 64-66 Fifth Avenue New York

By OWEN WISTER

Lady Baltimore

Illustrated, cloth, 12mo, \$1.50

"It is pleasant to be able to say that . . . his reputation will be more than merely maintained by his new venture. . . . It would be difficult to speak too highly of this delightful volume. . . . 'Lady Baltimore,' as may be gathered from what we have said above, is a many-sided book. . . . In fine, here is an author of whom America may well be proud, not only for his literary accomplishments, but for his generous, yet discriminating, love of his country." — *The Spectator*, London.

"Full of the tenderest human interest, sufficiently dramatic, with a decided touch of originality." — *Daily News*, Chicago.

"A delightful story; the reader is captivated from the start." — *New York Globe*.

"As a picture it is charming; as a story it has genuine strength." — *New York Mail*.

"Wholly charming from end to end." — *Toronto Globe*.

"The story maintains a rare quality of sincerity and indefinable charm." — *North American*, Philadelphia.

"A most charming story . . . one of the most exquisite done in years." — *Citizen*, Brooklyn.

"A love story that even excels that between Molly Wood and 'The Virginian.'" — *The Advance*, Chicago.

"We have been wholly charmed with 'Lady Baltimore,' and wish sincerely that it was our luck to read books like it every day." — *Richmond Times-Dispatch*.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

Publishers 64-66 Fifth Avenue New York

By OWEN WISTER

How Doth the Simple Spelling Bee

Illustrated, decorated cloth, 16mo, \$0.50

"Mr. Wister's humor is always genuine and racy, and in this little burlesque he fairly riots in absurd specimens of supersimplified spelling. This fantastic skit is intensely amusing." — *Outlook*.

"It is a clever extravaganza, and points a moral without possibility of mistake." — *Louisville Post*.

"Its spirit is the spirit of pure farce, and the vein of satire that runs through it only adds to the hilarity of the tale." — *Critic*.

Philosophy Four

Illustrated, decorated cloth, 16mo, \$0.50

"Told well and with a reserve which betokens a ripe literary craft." — *Daily Chronicle*.

"Owen Wister is a born story-teller. If you have ever read any of his books you have doubtless discovered this for yourself. In this charming story of undergraduate life at Harvard, Mr. Wister has shown that he has great skill in character delineation." — *The Boston Globe*.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

Publishers 64-66 Fifth Avenue New York

By OWEN WISTER

The Seven Ages of Washington

Cloth, gilt top, 12mo, \$2.00

CRITICAL COMMENDATION


"It is a curious fact that the life of Washington has never been really easy to write. . . . But in these matters the character of the historian means everything. If we have the right man we may be sure of a good book. As regards the true Washington we have in Mr. Wister the right man.


"'The Seven Ages of Washington' . . . gives a remarkable interpretation of its subject. . . . It is plain that the author has been moved to the depths of him by his hero's worth, finding in the traditionally 'cold' figure of Washington a type to touch the emotions as vividly as Napoleon touches them in even his most dramatic moments. He passes on his impression in a few chapters which gather up everyday traits as they come out in letters and other records. The salient events in Washington's career, military and political, are indicated rather than dwelt upon. The object of interest is always his character; the things placed in the foreground are the episodes, great or small, which show us that character in action or point to the sources of its development. . . .


"The background is handled with perfect discretion. The reader who is searching for an authoritative biography of Washington, brief, and made humanly interesting from the first page to the last, will find it here." — From a column review of the book in *The New York Tribune*, Nov. 23, 1907.

THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

Publishers 64-66 Fifth Avenue New York







TOGETHER WITH
MR. WISTER'S BOOK
THE DONOR WOULD
LIKE TO PRESENT THE
ENCLOSED ARTICLE

Lately two articles have been printed on International Law, the first in the New York Herald of May 16th, 1913, written by Charles Noble Gregory, Chairman of the Standing Committee on International Law of the American Bar Association; the second in the Leslie's Illustrated Weekly Newspaper of July 29th, 1915, written by Professor Theodore S. Woolsey of Yale College. The articles present the question of the sale of munitions of war very clearly. The people of the United States would do well to read them, since they must, as a nation, pronounce upon these questions, if not now, when the European war is over. Extracts are given from both articles.

The following quotations are from Professor Gregory:

"German citizens have habitually sold vast quantities of military supplies to belligerents. Essen is, perhaps, the very centre of military supplies and has exported on an enormous scale to belligerents in all modern wars, making, it is understood, vast profits from this traffic in the late Balkan wars.

"It will be interesting to know what has been Germany's practice when one of the belligerents had access to her markets and the other had not. Has the rule been observed which she now presses upon us? Has she recognized this sit-

uation as compelling her to deny to the Power having access the right to buy, on the ground that real neutrality so required?

"The war between the South African Republic and Great Britain began in October, 1899, and was closed by the treaty of Pretoria at the end May, 1902. During the earlier portion of the war supplies were received by the Boers through Lorenzo Marques, a neighboring Portuguese port, with some freedom, but in August, 1900, all the customs officials at Lorenzo Marques were dismissed and their places filled with military officers, and a force of 1,200 men was sent out from Lisbon. The frontier was guarded and the trade stopped. (See Campbell's *Neutral Rights in Anglo-Boer War*, p. 60.)

"The strictness of the Portuguese authorities increased with the decline of the fortunes of the Boers.

"England had seized and searched a number of neutral steamships, including three German steamships, and positively claimed the right to seize contraband bound to the Boers through a neutral port. She relied for this largely on the precedents of our civil war, and it would appear that the access of the Boer force to German markets was substantially destroyed. The question occurred to the writer, would it be found that during the later years there were imported from Germany into England large quantities of arms and military supplies notwithstanding this situation?

"He, therefore, took the liberty to apply to the British Embassy at Washington, which very obligingly cabled to London for information.

"On April 27, in a letter from the Embassy, he was advised that 'when the Boers were shut off from supplies by sea' Great Britain got from Germany '108 fifteen-pounder, quick-firing guns and 500 rounds per gun. They were purchased from Ehrhardt by private negotiation.' * * *

"But this writer had made other investigations which showed vastly larger military supplies pass-

ing from Germany to Great Britain at this time. This appears from the statistics as to the foreign trade of the United Kingdom compiled at the Custom House and presented to both Houses of Parliament by command of His Majesty and printed for His Majesty's Stationery Office. These records, published long anterior to the present unhappy controversy, and preserved in the Library of the Department of Commerce of the United States, show that there were imported from Germany into Great Britain:

	Cwts.
In 1899, swords, cutlasses, machetes and bayonets	782
In 1900, swords, cutlasses, machetes and bayonets	1,664
In 1901, swords, cutlasses and arms of other sorts.....	12,560
In 1902, swords, cutlasses and arms of other sorts.....	50,734

"Many more than from any other source.

"Rifles, carbines, fowling pieces, muskets, pistols or guns of any sort:

"In 1889, value £655; in 1900, £428.

"In 1901, metal cartridge cases, other than small arms ammunition (more than six times as many as from any other source), 1,378,600.

"1901, cordite and other smokeless propellants, 231 cwts.

"1901, gunpowder, 318 cwts.; 1902, 253 cwts.

"Dynamite and other high explosives.

"1901, 11,029 cwts.; 1902, 14,771 cwts., and in latter years these explosives were worth £84,894.

"Rockets and other combustibles for war and like purposes, explosives and ammunition unenumerated:

"1901 of the value £29,546; 1902, of £26,171.

"Small arm ammunition:

"1901, numbers 3,350,040; 1902, numbers 4,732,500.

"Fuses, tubes and primers:

"1901, numbers 898,007; 1902, numbers 2,033,116.

"The consumption of ammunition in the present war is on so vast a scale that the above figures may seem trivial, but we must remember that Mr. Lloyd George has recently said that in a single battle in the present war more ammunition was consumed than during the entire Boer war.

"It is submitted that the above trade figures between Germany and Great Britain embalm a principle and afford a German precedent in entire accord with the law and practice announced by our own government. They are the more convincing because Germany's sympathy was strongly with the South African Republic and strongly against England."

It would require Professor Kuno Franke to explain why it was *right* in Germany to sell arms to the English when the Boers could no longer buy them, and why it is *wrong* in the inhabitants of the United States of America to sell munitions to England, when Germany can no longer buy them.

Professor Woolsey speaks of the outcry against the sale of arms to belligerents, and says:

* * * * *

"What can the munitions trade say for itself?

"I. Naturally it turns for justification first to the usage of other wars, to the recognized rules of International Law. As expressed in Article 7, Convention XIII, of the 1907 Conference at the Hague, the law is as follows:

"'A neutral power is not bound to prevent the export or transit, for the use of either belligerent of arms, ammunitions or, in general, of anything which could be of use to an army or fleet.'

"The next previous article had prohibited a government from engaging in this trade, so that the distinction between what the state and the in-

dividual may do is made perfectly clear, provided both belligerents are treated alike. To permit trade in arms with one belligerent and forbid it with another would be unneutral and illegal.

"II. We permit the munitions trade with both belligerents it is true; and yet, owing to the chances of war, the right to buy inures to the advantage of one only. Does this stamp our conduct as unneutral? Quite the contrary. To embargo munitions bought by one side because the other side does not choose to buy would be the unneutral act. Germany does not buy, because she cannot transport. She cannot transport because she does not care to contest the control of the sea with her enemies. Have we ought to do with that? To supplement her naval inferiority by denying to the allies the fruits of their superiority would be equivalent to sharing in the war on the German side. * * * * * Rather than risk her fleet by contesting the control of the sea, thus gaining her share of munitions imports, Germany has chosen to withdraw it behind fortifications, thus losing the munitions trade. Probably the decision is a sound one, but she must accept the results.

"Not so, however, is it with many individuals. The opposition to the trade seems to come from two classes:

"(1) German sympathizers who seek to minimize the advantage which sea power gives the allies;

"(2) Those who are governed by their emotions rather than by reason and respect for law. I would call the attention of both these classes to the usage, especially to the German usage, in other wars. * * * * *

"If the trade in munitions is to be forbidden, then every state must accumulate its own supply or greatly enlarge its arms manufacturing capacity, both wasteful processes. * * * * *

“(3) The critics of the munitions trade must note furthermore that in our own country that trade cannot be forbidden without explicit legislation. * * * * *

“(4) But let us argue the question on ethical grounds alone. I can see no difference between a peace trade and a war trade from the humanitarian standpoint; between arming a neighbor by our exports in preparation for war and re-arming him during war. In both cases we help him to kill. Now, if one regards all war as wrong, aid in waging war by trade in munitions, whether in peace time or war time, should be abhorrent to one's conscience. * * * * *

“Most of us, however, believe that a defensive war, against aggression, threatening the life and liberties of a nation, is just and right.”

